## **NEW MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENT FOR THE SOUTH DALES DRAIN MUNICIPALITY OF LEAMINGTON** N. J. Peralta Engineering Ltd. Consulting Engineers 45 Division St. N., Kingsville, Ontario N9Y 1E1 Tel. (519) 733-6587 Project No. D-16-037

November 24th, 2017

Mayor and Municipal Council Corporation of the Municipality of Leamington 111 Erie St. North Leamington, Ontario N8H 2Z9

Mayor Paterson and Members of Council:

SUBJECT: NEW MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENT

FOR THE SOUTH DALES DRAIN

Municipality of Leamington, County of Essex

Project No. D-16-037

### I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with your instructions received by email dated May 4th, 2016, from the Assistant Drainage Superintendent, Lindsay Dean, we have undertaken a review of the existing drainage works and the drainage area served by the South Dales Drain. This investigation was initiated by a resolution passed by Council appointing our firm to prepare an Engineer's Report for the variation of the assessments on the South Dales Drain, so that the cost of any future maintenance works to the South Dales Drain may be fairly assessed. These investigations, our instruction, and this assessment report are all in accordance with Section 76 of the "Drainage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter D.17, as amended 2010".

### II. BACKGROUND AND DRAINAGE HISTORY

The South Dales Drain is generally an open Municipal Drain which provides drainage to the lands and roads primarily located within the Municipality of Leamington, along with a portion of the watershed residing within the Community of Wheatley, in the Municipality of Chatham-Kent. The South Dales Drain commences at the west limit of Erie Street, south of Coulson Street, within the Community of Wheatley. From this point the drain meanders in a northwesterly direction where it crosses County Road 34, Mersea Road 7, Mersea Road 8 and terminates at the south limit of County Road 14, between Lots 21 & 22, Concession 8, in the Municipality of Leamington. The watershed of the South Dales Drain is irregular shaped and provides sufficient outlet for various tributary drains. The South Dales Drain is predominantly located within the Brookston Clay and Brookston Clay - Sand Spots soils type. The topography of the associated lands are relatively flat. As a result, these soils require effective artificial drainage to be productive.

A review of the Municipalities of Leamington and Chatham-Kent drainage records indicates that the current governing drainage reports on file for the South Dales Drain consists of the following Engineer's Reports:

- a) July 8th, 1910 Engineer's Report on the "South Dales Drain", prepared by J.J. Newman, C.E., was carried out under the Municipality of Leamington By-Law No. 824. The works conducted under this report generally provided for the improvements along the entire length of the drain, within the Municipality of Leamington and included work within the Municipality of Chatham-Kent. These improvements included the replacement of two (2) highway bridges and eight (8) farm crossings within the South Dales Drain.
- b) August 25th, 2006 Engineer's Report on the "South Dales Drain", prepared by E.P. Dries, P.Eng., was carried out under Municipality of Leamington By-Law No. 830-08, initiated through the Municipality of Chatham-Kent. The works conducted under this report generally provided for the replacement of an existing drain crossing for Alvin Stockwell (1-394) within the Municipality of Chatham-Kent, along with providing open drain parameters and provisions for future maintenance work, extending from the outlet of the drain at Erie Street, upstream to County Road 34.

It shall be noted that the assessments for future maintenance established within this report primarily focuses on the section of drain identified within same.

From our detailed research of the above listed Engineer's Reports we have determined that generally speaking, the 1910 and the 2006 Engineer's Reports serves as the current governing By-Laws for this drain, from its outlet at Erie Street to its top end at County Road 14. Collectively, these Engineer's Reports govern the design provisions for any future maintenance works on this open channel. Currently, the costs for such maintenance works are to be assessed against the lands and roads outlined within these reports.

### III. PURPOSE OF REPORT

The Municipality of Leamington is proposing to undertake maintenance works on the South Dales Drain. The intended maintenance works consists of cutting brush and trees along the side slopes of the drain banks, for the entire length of the South Dales Drain.

Although, the 2006 Engineer's Report and By-Law established a general watershed limit of the entire drainage system, the assessments derived within this report are focused on the section of the drain in which the improvements were conducted. Therefore, these assessments are not suitable for maintenance works performed within the South Dales Drain, upstream of County Road 34.

Since the 1910 Engineer's Report and By-Law, certain changes have taken place within the drainage area and around the watershed boundaries. In general, many properties have been subdivided, property boundaries have been modified, new drains have been constructed, watershed limits have changed, drainage patterns have been altered, and land uses have changed. All of the above changes are not properly reflected by the Schedule of Assessment contained within this governing By-Law and it is necessary to prepare a new Maintenance Schedule of Assessment to properly account for all of the lands and roads affected by the entire length of the South Dales Drain.

### IV. ON-SITE MEETING

With the intention of performing maintenance on the South Dales Drain, along with the need for a new Maintenance Schedule of Assessment to distribute costs for this work, it was determined that an On-Site Meeting would be conducted to address both matters at the same time.

After reviewing all of the drainage information and documentation provided by the Municipality of Leamington, we arranged with the Municipality to notify all affected landowners within the South Dales Drain watershed of an On-Site Meeting to be held on February 1st, 2017. The On-Site Meeting was attended by the following people:

Roger Gillanders (landowner - 2224 Mersea Road 10)
William Gillanders (landowner - 2224 Mersea Road 10)
Murray Walker (landowner - 2026 Mersea Road 11)
John Rosenfeld (landowner - 900 County Road 34)
Daniel Jones (landowner - 2232 Mersea Road 7)
Henry Krueger (landowner - 2001 Mersea Road 7)
Paul Krueger (landowner - 887 County Road 34)
Mark Stevenson (landowner - 1429 Mersea Road 8)
Ernie Taves (landowner - 1305 Talbot Trail)
Dave Meyer (landowner - 863 County Road 34)
Gary Taves (landowner - 1056 Talbot Trail)
Abe Friesen (landowner - 626 County Road 34)
Bill Fast (landowner - 855 County Road 34)
Abe Penner (landowner - 708 & 912 County Road 34)

Jeremy Krueger (Essex County Highways)
Jordan Gray (Chatham-Kent Drainage Superintendent)
Carlie Lemieux (Chatham-Kent Drainage Analyst)
Lu-Ann Marentette (Leamington Drainage Superintendent)
Tony Peralta, P.Eng. (N.J. Peralta Engineering Ltd.)

Ms. Marentette provided introductions and generally advised that the Municipality of Leamington is proposing to undertake maintenance works on the South Dales Drain, based on the request of the affected landowners. Upon review of the governing By-Law for this drain, the Municipality has found that the governing Schedule of Assessment was insufficient for the purposes of assessing the costs of any future maintenance work within the South Dales Drain.

Mr. Peralta provided additional information that pertained to status of this Municipal Drain. It was further explained that the latest governing By-Law for the entire length of the South Dales Drain was prepared 1910 by J.J. Newman, C.E. Although, this By-Law is dated, the report provides an adequate drain profile and working corridor provisions for the Municipality of Leamington to conduct maintenance on the South Dales Drain.

It was noted that a subsequent report was prepared in 2006 by E.P. Dries, P.Eng., which primarily focused on the section of drain south of County Road 34 to its outlet at Erie Street. The assessments within this report reflected only those property affect by the improvements outlined within this section of the drain. As a result, it was determined that this report would not be adequate to assess maintenance costs for the entire length of the South Dales Drain.

As a result of the above information, the Municipality had initiated the preparation of the Engineer's Report for the reassessment of costs for the South Dales Drain, through Section 76 of the Drainage Act.

The landowners were further advised that the maintenance works would likely be conducted in the summer of 2017. However, the costs for this maintenance will be held by the Municipality of Leamington until the new Maintenance Schedule of Assessment was approved and adopted under Municipal By-Law.

The landowners were further advised that the scope of this report will focus solely on the reassessment of costs for the South Dales Drain and will not make recommendations to include any physical improvements to the drain, nor any of its access bridges and/or road crossings. It was emphasized that no improvements will be recommended under this report unless there is a change in scope through instruction from the Municipality.

As part of a Maintenance Report, it is common for the Municipality to request future cost sharing provisions for all drain crossings within the Municipal Drain. However, this would imply that provisions for each access bridge crossing would be clearly established within the governing By-Law, along with provisions for installation of same. In reviewing the 1910 By-Law, eight (8) farm crossings were mentioned within the Engineer's Report. However, the exact location, size, elevation, materials, etc. were not clearly identified within this report. As a result, it was established that cost sharing provisions for the existing drain crossings within the South Dales Drain would not be included within this report. Based on the above, the replacement on any drain crossing within the South Dales Drain would likely require a new Engineer's Report, under Section 78 of the Drainage Act, to address each drain crossing replacement.

It was also noted that the costs related to the preparation of the Maintenance Report and said engineering fees are likely to be assessed to all landowners within the drain's watershed that utilize and benefit from the South Dales Drain. The proportion of costs shall be distributed based on the new Maintenance Schedule of Assessment prepared under this report. The landowners were further advised that work prepared under the new Maintenance Schedule Report would not be eligible for grants through the policies set by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (O.M.A.F.R.A.). However, the actual costs associated to the maintenance works is likely eligible for such grant.

The landowners were further advised of the Drainage Act processes in regards to the Report submission. The Municipality will further circulate the report to the affected landowners, with a notice of the Consideration Meeting, followed by the Court of Revisions.

At the conclusion of the meeting, the landowners were advised that, as part of the Engineer's review of the watershed, individual property Owners may be contacted to review the drainage patterns of their lands, in order for the Engineer to accurately assess the affected lands within the watershed.

### V. RECOMMENDATIONS

### Maintenance Schedule of Assessment

In order to properly assess any maintenance works to the South Dales Drain it will be necessary to vary the current governing Schedule of Assessment which was prepared by J.J. Newman, C.E., dated July 8th, 1910. We recommend that the current Maintenance Schedule of Assessment be varied and same has been prepared and provided within this report.

In order to establish the new Schedule of Assessment for the South Dales Drain, a value of \$50,000.00 has been utilized as a basis for the future cost sharing of maintenance works. This amount was distributed amongst the lands and roads affected within the watershed. The amount utilized in the Schedule of Assessment does not authorize expenditure of this amount but only provides an arbitrary value for the purpose of establishing a relative distribution of cost amongst the property owners affected by the maintenance work.

The new Maintenance Schedule of Assessment for the South Dales Drain is to be utilized for maintaining the open portions of the drain and for the brushing, drain excavation, along with flushing and cleaning of all access bridges and roadway crossing, along the entire length of the drain.

It shall also be understood that the Maintenance Schedule of Assessment for the South Dales Drain is for maintenance of the open drain portions only as previously outlined, and is not to be utilized for any of the maintenance works being conducted on the access bridge structures within the drain. The access bridge structures are to be assessed in a different fashion.

We would also recommend that all engineering costs and expenses related to the preparation, distribution, and consideration of this report be included as an expense to the drainage works and assessed in the same proportions as set out in the new Maintenance Schedule of Assessment for the South Dales Drain, attached herein.

In order to establish the watershed areas for the South Dales Drain, we reviewed and investigated all of the latest Engineer's Reports on this drain and its branches. We further reviewed the Two Creeks Drain, the Derbyshire Drain, the East South Dales Drain, the Hooker Drain, the Bert Pulley Drain, the Coulter Drain, the Goslin Drain, the East Ogle Drain and its Branches, the Vernon Drain, the Sylvester Wiper Drain, and the Big Creek Drain and its branches. Also, as part of our investigations we contacted numerous affected ratepayers by telephone to review

the drainage of their lands in detail and/or visited the various sites to investigate the drainage of the lands in question and confirm their involvement with the South Dales Drain, where necessary. From this review, adjustments to the South Dales watershed were made and incorporated within the attached Maintenance Schedule of Assessment. Therefore, we recommend that both Municipalities file copies of this report in said drainage folders for reference when future drainage reports are prepared on said drains so that changes caused by this new Maintenance Schedule of Assessment can be accounted for in those reports.

### VI. DRAWINGS

Attached, as part of this report, we have provided a plan that illustrates the South Dales Drain watershed area, the location of the drain, a listing of the affected landowners, as well as the location of the approximate limit of the watershed. The plan has been attached to the back of this report and has been reduced in size and scale. However, full scale drawings can be viewed at the Leamington Municipal Offices, if required.

### VII. ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE AND MAINTENANCE WORKS

We have prepared a Schedule of Assessment to be utilized for assessing costs against the affected lands and roads for any future maintenance works conducted to the South Dales Drain and same has been attached herein. As previously mentioned, the assessment proportions as outlined within the Maintenance Schedule of Assessment has been established on the basis of an assumed future maintenance cost of \$50,000.00, and it should be understood that the maintenance charges outlined in the attached Maintenance Schedule of Assessment should not be made until such time that maintenance works have been conducted and expended. The actual cost of maintenance work on the open drain shall be assessed against the lands and roads in the same relative proportions as shown herein, subject to any future variations that may be made under the authority of the Drainage Act.

### Assessment Components

The total individual assessments within the Maintenance Schedule of Assessment, comprises of two (2) separate assessment components, including:

- Benefit defined as advantages to any lands, roads, buildings or other structures from the construction, improvement, repair or maintenance of a drainage works such as will result in a higher market value or increased crop production or improved appearance or better control of surface or subsurface water, or any other advantages relating to the betterment of lands, roads, buildings or other structures, as it relates to Section 22 of the Drainage Act.
- ii) Outlet Liability defined as part of the cost of the construction, improvement or maintenance of a drainage works that is required to provide such outlet or improved outlet, as it relates to Section 23 of the Drainage Act.

### Assessment Rationale

Benefit Assessment - The removal of trees, brush and debris, along with the excavation of accumulated sediment within the South Dales Drain channel will drastically improve the flow of water through the drainage system. The improvements to the drain will enhance the hydraulic capacity of the channel and provides drain bank stability within the drain. As a result, the properties located adjacent to the South Dales Drain benefit from the maintenance works conducted on the drain. Therefore, the Benefit Assessment shown within the Maintenance Schedule of Assessment are levied against those properties who reside adjacent to the drain, based on the definition provided above.

Outlet Assessment - According to the parameters set within Section 23 of the Drainage Act, all lands which utilize the South Dales Drain as a drainage outlet may be assessed for Outlet Liability. As further outlined within Section 23(3) of the Drainage Act, the Outlet Assessment is "...based on the volume and rate of flow of the water artificially caused to flow...". Based on the characteristics of the lands that contribute flow to the South Dales Drain, runoff factors have been applied based on the land use of each property to reflect the actual amount of water that is artificially collected and discharged into the Therefore, developed lands (residential, South Dales Drain. commercial lots and roads) have an increased run-off factor applied to their assessment. Contrarily, lands which have surface (or subsurface) runoff that exit the watershed, or contain woodlots would have a decrease run-off factor applied to their assessment.

### Special Considerations

Based on correspondence with the Chatham-Kent Drainage Department, it is Municipal Policy for any lands within the urban areas of the Municipality of Chatham-Kent, not be assessed for individual Municipal Drain assessments. Therefore, Chatham-Kent confirmed that these lands shall be assessed to the Municipality of Chatham-Kent as a Block Assessment pursuant to Section 25 of the "Drainage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter D.17, as amended 2010". However, lands used for agricultural purposes that are deemed eligible for the 1/3 grant from O.M.A.F.R.A. have been removed from the Block Assessment, for grant allocation purposes. Based on the information provided, we have allocated a Block Assessment within the Maintenance Schedule of Assessment for all lands within the limits of the Community of Wheatley, and utilize the South Dales Drain for drainage purposes.

It shall be noted that Parcel 1-50301 within the Municipality of Chatham-Kent, currently owned by the Municipality of Chatham-Kent (for the Wheatley Arena), partially resides within the Community of Wheatley. The total affected area within the limits of the Community of Wheatley is approximately 10.0 Acres (4.047 Hectares). The remainder of this property has been assessed as a separate entry. Both of which are included within the Assessment Schedule of Assessment under "4. PRIVATELY OWNED - NON-AGRICULTURAL LANDS"

### Maintenance Works

For the purposes of future maintenance on the entire length of the open channel, all costs shall be levied against the lands and roads within the watershed in accordance with the attached Schedule of Assessment. The physical dimensions which control the extent of maintenance works permitted on this open channel shall be limited to that which had been set out and constructed as part of the following Engineer's Reports:

- From its outlet at Station 0+000.0 to the south limit of County Road 34 at Station 1+338.0; August 25th, 2006 Engineer's Report prepared by E.P. Dries, P.Eng.
- From the south limit of County Road 34 Station 1+338.0 to the Top End of the Drain at the South limit of County Road 14; July 8th, 1910 Engineer's Report prepared J.J. Newman, C.E.

### VIII GRANTS

It should be understood that no grant is available to privately owned lands used for agricultural purposes for Engineer's Reports conducted under Section 76 of the Drainage Act.

However, in accordance with the provisions of Section 85 through Section 90 of the "Drainage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter D.17, as amended 2010", when maintenance works are conducted to the South Dales Drain in the future, a grant up to the amount of 1/3 of the assessments eligible for a grant, may be made in respect of the maintenance assessments made upon privately owned lands used for agricultural purposes. Based on the current Agricultural Drainage Infrastructure Program (A.D.I.P.), "lands used for agricultural purposes" may be eligible for a grant in the amount of up to 1/3 of their total assessment. The new policies however, define "lands used for agricultural purposes" as those lands eligible for the "Farm Property Class Tax Rate", the "Managed Forest Tax Incentive Program", or the "Conservation Land Tax Incentive Program". The Municipal Clerk has provided this information to the Engineer from the current property tax roll and the Engineer has further confirmed this information with the Aq Maps Geographic Information Portal service through O.M.A.F.R.A. Properties that meet the criteria for "lands used for agricultural purposes" are shown in the attached Assessment Schedule under the subheading "5. PRIVATELY OWNED - AGRICULTURAL LANDS (grantable)" and are expected to be eligible for the 1/3 grant from O.M.A.F.R.A.

During our investigations, we found that some agricultural lands, which are actually being used for agricultural purposes, are not eligible for grant primarily because they do not have a Farm Tax Classification. These lands are as follows:

730-01400 - Dennis & Karen Driedger

730-02700 - 1364692 Ontario Limited

740-01800 - David & Margaretha Dyck

770-00600 - Magdalena Farms Limited

770-01910 - Magdalena Farms Ltd.

810-00500 - Edward & Kathy Hicks

810-00600 - Paul & Freda Brown

These lands, in the Construction Schedule of Assessment have been categorized and listed under the heading "5. PRIVATELY OWNED - AGRICULTURAL LANDS (non-grantable)" which means that said properties would not be eligible for the O.M.A.F.R.A. grant. If these lands, which are obviously being used for agricultural purposes had a Farm Tax Classification, they would have been eligible for grant. From our research into how the Farm Tax Classifications are determined, and from further discussions with O.M.A.F.R.A. regarding same, we determined that in order for a property to gain a Farm Tax Classification, the Owner would need to meet the following criteria.

- 1) make a minimum gross income of \$7,000.00.
- 2) must be a member, or be registered with, a farm organization or group.

For the agricultural lands currently listed under the heading "5. PRIVATELY OWNED - AGRICULTURAL LANDS (non-grantable)" above is likely the reason why they are not eligible for the O.M.A.F.R.A. grant. We therefore encourage these landowners, which make a minimum gross income of \$7,000.00, to become a member, or be registered with a farm organization or group so that they may also become eligible for said grant.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

N. J. PERALTA ENGINEERING LTD.

Antonio B. Peralta, P.Eng.

ABP/amm

N. J. PERALTA ENGINEERING LTD.

Consulting Engineers 45 Division Street N. KINGSVILLE, Ontario N9Y 1E1

### MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE OF ASSESSMENT **MUNICIPALITY OF LEAMINGTON** SOUTH DALES DRAIN

MUNICIPALITY OF LEAMINGTON	STATE OF THE STATE
----------------------------	--

	: ) ( ; ; i													
Tax Roll	Con. or Plan	l ot or Part	Acres	Acres	Hectares		>	Value of	>	Value of	Value of		TOTAL	
No.	No.	of Lot	Owned	Afft'd	Afft'd	Owner's Name	, ш	Benefit		Outlet	Benefit		VALUE	
County Road 34	4			10.36	4.193	County of Essex	↔	339.00	↔	401.00	•	₩	740.00	
County Road 37	2:			6.71	2.715	County of Essex	↔		↔	466.00	-	₩	466.00	
County Road 14	4			13.17	5.330	County of Essex	↔	126.00	↔	1,063.00	-	₩	1,189.00	
Mersea Road 6	<b>~</b>			2.88	1.166	Municipality of Leamington	↔		↔	104.00	-	₩	104.00	
Mersea Road 7				15.51	6.277	Municipality of Leamington	↔	95.00	↔	758.00	•	₩	853.00	
Mersea Road 8	~			29.06	11.760	Municipality of Leamington	↔	95.00	↔	1,771.00	-	₩	1,866.00	
Mersea Road 21	72			5.22	2.113	Municipality of Leamington	<del>⇔</del>		€	188.00	•	↔	188.00	
	Total on	Total on Municipal Lands	sp			·	€	655.00	<del>\$</del>	4,751.00	• <del>•</del>	<del>\$</del>	5,406.00	
4. PRIVATELY	OWNED -	4. PRIVATELY OWNED - NON-AGRICULTURAL LANDS:	ILTURAL LA	NDS:										
Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	Con. or Plan <u>No.</u>	Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	Acres Owned	Acres <u>Afft'd</u>	Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	Owner's Name	> ш	Value of <u>Benefit</u>	> "	Value of <u>Outlet</u>	Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>		TOTAL <u>VALUE</u>	
1 550-00603	STR	218	1.32	1.32	0.534	Brian & Wanda Derikx	↔		8	13.00	. ↔	₩	13.00	
2 550-02400	STR	220	200	200	2 023	Ahram & Aganatha Eriasan	¥		¥	59.00	¥	¥	29 00	

TOTAL VALUE	13.00	29.00	22.00	14.00	12.00	27.00	29.00	40.00	13.00	11.00	21.00	9.00	10.00
⊢ >	↔	s	↔	↔	↔	s	s	s	s	s	↔	↔	<del>⇔</del>
√alue of Special <u>Benefit</u>	•												ı
<i></i>	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Value of <u>Outlet</u>	13.00	29.00	22.00	14.00	12.00	27.00	29.00	40.00	13.00	11.00	21.00	9.00	10.00
	↔	↔	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	↔
Value of <u>Benefit</u>		1	1	1	1							1	1
<i>&gt;</i> –	↔	<b>⇔</b>	↔	↔	↔	\$	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	<del>\$</del>
ᅃ	da Derikx	Abram & Aganetha Friesen	ın Wieler	ennie Hyatt	inetha Heide		e Mocan	Peter & Margaret Klassen	ely Savard	ø.	Abraham & Elizabeth Penner		David & Marianne Hillman
Owner's Name	Brian & Wanda Derikx	Abram & Aga	David & Helen Wieler	Charles & Pennie Hyatt	Johan & Aganetha Heide	<b>Cheryl Wells</b>	Philip & Annie Mocan	Peter & Març	Ronald & Neely Savard	Shirley White	Abraham & E	Sara Clark	David & Mari
σ.	0.534 Brian & Wan											œ	0.174 David & Mari
<u> </u>	0.534	2.023	0.473	0.364	0.186	0.603	0.603	0.911	0.243	0.158	0.263	0.178	0.174
Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	1.32 0.534	5.00 2.023	1.17 0.473	0.90 0.364	0.46 0.186	1.49 0.603	1.49 0.603	2.25 0.911	0.60 0.243	0.39 0.158	0.65 0.263	0.44 0.178	0.43 0.174
Acres Hectares Afft'd Afft'd	1.32 1.32 0.534	5.00 5.00 2.023	1.17 1.17 0.473	0.90 0.90 0.364	0.46 0.46 0.186	1.49 1.49 0.603	1.49 1.49 0.603	2.25 2.25 0.911	0.60 0.60 0.243	0.39 0.39 0.158	0.65 0.65 0.263	0.44 0.178	0.43 0.43 0.174
Lot or Part Acres Acres Hectares <u>of Lot</u> <u>Owned</u> <u>Afft'd</u>	1.32 1.32 0.534	220 5.00 5.00 2.023	220 1.17 1.17 0.473	STR 220 0.90 0.90 0.364	STR 220 0.46 0.46 0.186	STR 220 1.49 0.603	220 1.49 0.603	STR 220 2.25 2.25 0.911	STR 219 0.60 0.60 0.243	STR 219 0.39 0.39 0.158	219 0.65 0.65 0.263	219 0.44 0.44 0.178	0.43 0.43 0.174
Con. or Plan Lot or Part Acres Acres Hectares No. of Lot Owned Afft'd Afft'd	218 1.32 0.534	STR 220 5.00 5.00 2.023	STR 220 1.17 1.17 0.473	STR 220 0.90 0.90 0.364	STR 220 0.46 0.46 0.186	STR 220 1.49 0.603	STR 220 1.49 0.603	STR 220 2.25 2.25 0.911	STR 219 0.60 0.60 0.243	STR 219 0.39 0.39 0.158	STR 219 0.65 0.65 0.263	219 0.44 0.44 0.178	STR 219 0.43 0.43 0.174

TOTAL VALUE	15.0	8.0	30.0	1.0	10.0	29.0	9.0	18.0	12.0	9.0	16.0	20.0	32.0	18.0	32.0	33.0	19.0	11.0	43.0	19.0	10.0	30.0	40.0	21.0	18.0	43.0	28.0	30.0	22.0	32.0	27.0	27.0	44.0
	↔	\$	\$	\$	€	↔	\$	↔	\$	\$	s	↔	↔	↔	s	€	€	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	s	€	\$	€	€	↔	₩	↔	s	<del>⇔</del>
Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>					,	•		•				•	•		1			•	•	•		•			,		,		•	•		•	
ŕ	↔	\$	\$	\$	↔	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	↔	↔	↔	€	€9	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	\$	↔	€9	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔
Value of <u>Outlet</u>	15.00	1.00	14.00	1.00	10.00	29.00	9.00	18.00	12.00	9.00	16.00	20.00	32.00	18.00	32.00	16.00	19.00	11.00	43.00	19.00	10.00	30.00	40.00	21.00	18.00	43.00	28.00	30.00	22.00	32.00	27.00	27.00	26.00
	↔	↔	↔	↔	€	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	<b>↔</b>	€	↔	8	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	€	↔	€	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Value of <u>Benefit</u>		7.00	16.00	•			•		•	•	•			•		17.00			•			•				•			•	1			18.00
≥ □	↔	s	s	s	€	s	s	s	s	s	s	↔	↔	↔	s	€	s	↔	↔	↔	↔	\$	↔	s	€	s	€	€	↔	↔	↔	s	↔
Owner's Name	David & Margaretha Fehr	Frankie & Laura Jacobs	Janet Duckett	Janet Duckett	Michelle Bailey	Fairview Cemetery	John & Kimberly Simpson	Hydro One Networks Inc.	Hazel Roach	Manuel & Maria Furtado	N Sawatzky Farms	Abram & Anna Klassen	Jeffrey Turnbull	Richard Iacobelli & Renee Daudlin- Iacobelli	Dorothy & Erna Goron	John & Jean Rosenfeld	David Dyck	Sylvie Haineault	David, Laurie & Ashely Meyer	William & Margaret Fast	Albert Tislau	Wilhelm Friesen	Jimmy & Agatha Friesen	John & Helen Cook	Diedrich & Katharina Wall	Isaac & Ana Bergen	Wilhelm & Margaretha Martens	Peter & Stacey Wiebe	Helena & Abram Martens	Paul & Ruth Warkentin	Todd & Elaine Armstrong	Benjamin & Maria Peters	Oscar Tellier
Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	0.275	0.134	0.619	0.016	0.571	1.461	0.170	0.158	0.271	0.125	0.328	0.405	0.558	0.324	0.809	0.328	0.360	0.235	1.214	0.656	0.186	0.397	1.048	0.413	0.405	0.927	0.639	0.809	0.364	0.417	0.352	0.372	0.336
Acres <u>Afft'd</u>	0.68	0.33	1.53	0.04	1.41	3.61	0.42	0.39	0.67	0.31	0.81	1.00	1.38	0.80	2.00	0.81	0.89	0.58	3.00	1.62	0.46	0.98	2.59	1.02	1.00	2.29	1.58	2.00	0.90	1.03	0.87	0.92	0.83
Acres	0.68	0.33	1.53	0.04	1.41	3.61	0.42	0.39	0.67	0.31	0.81	1.00	1.38	0.80	2.00	0.81	0.89	0.58	3.00	1.62	0.46	0.98	2.59	1.02	1.00	2.29	1.58	2.00	06:0	1.03	0.87	0.92	0.83
Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	219	218	218	218	218	218	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	219	220	220	220	221	221	221	221	221	221	222	222	222	222	222	222	222	22	21	22
Con. or Plan <u>No.</u>	STR	STR	STR	STR	STR	Plan 466	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	9	9	9
Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	550-03500	550-03905	550-03910	550-03915	550-03916	550-04000	000-009	600-00400	600-00500	00900-009	00200-009	00800-009	00600-009	600-00901	600-01101	600-01175	600-01190	600-01200	600-01300	600-01500	600-01600	600-01750	600-01800	600-01900	600-02000	600-02102	600-02104	600-02200	600-02300	600-02901	600-02920	600-02925	600-03100
	17	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	29	30	31	32	34	32	38	4	42	43	44	46	47	49	20	21	25	24	22	26	22	09	61	62	64

TOTAL <u>VALUE</u>	41.00	92.00	20.00	31.00	18.00	93.00	41.00	42.00	26.00	19.00	34.00	27.00	17.00	20.00	28.00	53.00	124.00	38.00	29.00	36.00	37.00	44.00	28.00	54.00	25.00	70.00	108.00	46.00	45.00	64.00	90.00	41.00
	8	↔	\$	છ	છ	<del>\$</del>	\$	છ	\$	છ	↔	છ	€	\$	↔	\$	\$	\$	\$	છ	છ	\$	€9	છ	છ	છ	\$	છ	\$	\$	↔	↔
Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	1		1	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•			•		
	↔	↔	↔	છ	\$	↔	છ	\$	↔	\$	₩	છ	8	₩	↔	₩	₩	₩	₩	છ	છ	↔	છ	છ	છ	\$	\$	↔	છ	\$	↔	₩
Value of <u>Outlet</u>	23.00	92.00	20.00	31.00	18.00	93.00	41.00	42.00	56.00	19.00	34.00	27.00	17.00	50.00	28.00	53.00	124.00	38.00	59.00	28.00	37.00	44.00	28.00	54.00	25.00	70.00	108.00	46.00	45.00	64.00	90.00	41.00
	↔	8	ઝ	છ	ક્ક	↔	↔	ક્ક	ઝ	ક્ક	8	છ	8	↔	↔	↔	↔	\$	\$	છ	છ	ઝ	ક્ક	છ	છ	ક્ક	ઝ	છ	↔	\$	\$	€
Value of <u>Benefit</u>	18.00	•		1	ı	1		1			•	1	•	1	•	1	•	•	•	8.00				1	1	ı		•		•		ı
<i></i>	છ	\$	\$	€9	\$	₩	છ	s	\$	s	↔	€9	8	₩	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	69	69	\$	છ	€9	€9	\$	↔	↔	છ	\$	↔	<del>\$</del>
9	ry Roach	Ø	Johan & Margaretha Wiebe	on	Wilfred & Karen Goron	Nichole Vanderveer & Mark Seman	cie Krueger	Krueger	Henry & Beatrice Krueger	ınna Wall	Abraham & Margaret Letkeman	Craig & Pauline Manning	Bernhard & Carrie Schmitt	puomu	ly Devlin	ıryl Epp	Paul Konrad & Tryntje Hoekstra	Gerhard & Susana Klassen	ına Wiebe	Franz & Katharina Dyck	ıra Wieler	di Epp	Anna Vogt	on	iansen	Garry Mills Family Farm Inc.	David & Margaretha Dyck	sichroeb	Johan & Aganetha Neufeld	na Guenter	William & Marlene Bradley	ary Froese
Owner's Name	Nancy & Gary Roach	Daniel Jones	Johan & Ma	Wilfred Goron	Wilfred & Ka	Nichole Van Seman	David & Tracie Krueger	John & Jodi Krueger	Henry & Be	Wilhelm & Anna Wall	Abraham &	Craig & Pau	Bernhard &	Sarah Drummond	Scott & Holly Devlin	Mark & Sheryl Epp	Paul Konra	Gerhard &	David & Anna Wiebe	Franz & Ka	David & Sara Wieler	Edwin & Jodi Epp	Heinrich & Anna Vogt	Kevin Watson	Marc Christiansen	Garry Mills	David & Ma	Cornelius Teichroeb	Johan & Ag	Jacob & Anna Guenter	William & M	Steven & Mary Froese
Hectares <u>Afft'd</u> Owner's Nan	0.336 Nancy & Ga	2.023 Daniel Jone	0.514 Johan & Ma	0.749 Wilfred Gor	1.040 Wilfred & Ka	1.356 Nichole Van Seman	0.482 David & Tra	0.482 John & Jodi	0.684 Henry & Be	0.186 Wilhelm & A	0.312 Abraham &	0.344 Craig & Pau	0.186 Bernhard &	0.708 Sarah Drun	0.668 Scott & Hol	0.469 Mark & She	1.234 Paul Konra	0.336 Gerhard &	0.526 David & An	0.308 Franz & Ka	0.356 David & Sa	0.401 Edwin & Jo	0.271 Heinrich & ,	0.340 Kevin Wats	0.186 Marc Christ	0.587 Garry Mills	0.639 David & Ma	0.534 Cornelius Te	0.482 Johan & Ag	0.538 Jacob & An	0.882 William & M	0.275 Steven & Ma
			4								7	4											-							·		.,
Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	0.336	2.023	0.514	0.749	1.040	1.356	0.482	0.482	0.684	0.186	0.312	0.344	0.186	0.708	0.668	0.469	1.234	0.336	0.526	0.308	0.356	0.401	0.271	0.340	0.186	0.587	0.639	0.534	0.482	0.538	0.882	0.275
Acres Hectares Affr <u>d</u> Affr <u>d</u>	0.83 0.336	5.00 2.023	1.27 0.514	1.85 0.749	2.57 1.040	3.35 1.356	1.19 0.482	1.19 0.482	1.69 0.684	0.46 0.186	0.77 0.312	0.85 0.344	0.46 0.186	1.75 0.708	1.65 0.668	1.16 0.469	3.05 1.234	0.83 0.336	1.30 0.526	0.76 0.308	0.88 0.356	0.99 0.401	0.67 0.271	0.84 0.340	0.46 0.186	1.45 0.587	1.58 0.639	1.32 0.534	1.19 0.482	1.33 0.538	2.18 0.882	0.68 0.275
Acres Acres Hectares  Owned Aff'd Aff'd	0.83 0.83 0.336	5.00 5.00 2.023	1.27 1.27 0.514	35.67 1.85 0.749	12.00 2.57 1.040	3.35 3.35 1.356	1.19 0.482	1.19 0.482	1.69 1.69 0.684	0.46 0.46 0.186	0.77 0.312	0.85 0.85 0.344	0.46 0.46 0.186	1.75 1.75 0.708	1.65 1.65 0.668	1.16 1.16 0.469	3.05 3.05 1.234	0.83 0.83 0.336	1.30 0.526	0.76 0.308	0.88 0.356	0.99 0.99 0.401	0.67 0.67 0.271	0.84 0.84 0.340	0.46 0.46 0.186	1.45 1.45 0.587	1.58 0.639	1.32 1.32 0.534	1.19 0.482	1.33 1.33 0.538	2.18 2.18 0.882	0.68 0.68 0.275
Lot or Part Acres Acres Hectares of Lot Owned Afft'd Afft'd	22 0.83 0.83 0.336	221 5.00 5.00 2.023	219 1.27 1.27 0.514	223 35.67 1.85 0.749	223 12.00 2.57 1.040	21 3.35 3.35 1.356	20 1.19 0.482	1.19 0.482	1.69 1.69 0.684	0.46 0.46 0.186	0.77 0.312	0.85 0.85 0.344	0.46 0.46 0.186	1.75 1.75 0.708	1.65 1.65 0.668	1.16 1.16 0.469	3.05 3.05 1.234	0.83 0.83 0.336	1.30 0.526	21 0.76 0.76 0.308	21 0.88 0.88 0.356	19 0.99 0.99 0.401	0.67 0.67 0.271	0.84 0.84 0.340	0.46 0.46 0.186	1.45 1.45 0.587	1.58 0.639	15 & 16 1.32 1.32 0.534	1.19 0.482	15 1.33 0.538	15 2.18 2.18 0.882	0.68 0.68 0.275

TOTAL VALUE	77.00	54.00	122.00	31.00	26.00	18.00	46.00	3,011.00		TOTAL <u>VALUE</u>	109.00	4.00	672.00	359.00	81.00	29.00	632.00	642.00	286.00	311.00	389.00	307.00	352.00	00'129	205.00	121.00	994.00	947.00	995.00
	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	<del>\$</del>	↔	<del>\$</del>			↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>						1				Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>	•				•														•
	↔	\$	€9	69	\$	↔	↔	<b> </b> ↔			8	€	<del>\$</del>	↔	<del>\$</del>	↔	\$	\$	↔	\$	8	\$	€9	<del>\$</del>	<del>\$</del>	€9	<del>\$</del>	↔	↔
Value of <u>Outlet</u>	77.00	54.00	122.00	31.00	26.00	18.00	37.00	2,918.00		Value of <u>Outlet</u>	109.00	2.00	355.00	219.00	81.00	29.00	632.00	267.00	359.00	165.00	197.00	171.00	161.00	361.00	99.00	121.00	480.00	444.00	445.00
	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	<del>\$</del>	↔	₩			↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Value of <u>Benefit</u>	•	•	•		•	1	9.00	93.00		Value of <u>Benefit</u>	٠	2.00	317.00	140.00	•	•		75.00	227.00	146.00	192.00	136.00	191.00	316.00	106.00	•	514.00	503.00	550.00
	₩	\$	↔	↔	\$	<b>⇔</b>	↔	₩			↔	છ	₩	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	છ	↔	↔	↔	છ	₩	₩	↔	₩	↔	↔
Owner's Name	Chad Brian	Christopher & Linda Dowell	Bonnie Ciliska	Allen & Joan Brown	Richard Bechard	The Church of God (Resortation) Aylmer	Gary Peters			Owner's Name	Thomas Daly	John Rosenfeld	Rose Holdings Limited	2584085 Ontario Inc.	Maxwell Abraham	Ahmad Chehade	N Sawatzky Farms	N Sawatzky Farms	Paul & Mary Krueger	Gerald Rosenfeld	Kenneth & Helen Kroeker	Gerald Rosenfeld	David & Laurie Meyer	William Fast	Thomas J Dick Farms Inc.	John & Marjorie Bailey	Peter & Jennifer Driedger	Tec-Land Inc.	Tec-Land Inc.
Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	0.915	0.591	0.801	0.271	0.255	0.186	0.348	ands		Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	6.070	0.069	20.186	4.286	7.576	4.541	25.540	22.902	21.854	9.268	9.514	9.786	8.490	18.219	4.047	4.144	22.436	19.211	21.004
Acres Afft'd	2.26	1.46	1.98	0.67	0.63	0.46	0.86	gricultural L	(grantable)	Acres <u>Afft'd</u>	15.00	0.17	49.88	10.59	18.72	11.22	63.11	56.59	54.00	22.90	23.51	24.18	20.98	45.02	10.00	10.24	55.44	47.47	51.90
Acres	2.26	1.46	1.98	0.67	0.63	0.46	0.86	ed - Non-Aç	RAL LANDS	Acres Owned	44.63	0.17	49.88	10.59	18.72	11.22	63.11	56.59	54.00	22.90	23.51	24.18	20.98	45.02	92.61	10.24	55.44	47.47	51.90
Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	4	13	13	13	13	13	21	Total on Privately Owned - Non-Agricultural Lands	AGRICULTUR	Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	220	220	219	219	218 & 219	218	219	219	220	220	221	220	221	221	222	222	222	21 & 22	221
Con. or Plan <u>No.</u>	∞	80	80	80	80	∞	6	Total on	OWNED -	Con. or Plan No.	STR	STR	STR	STR	STR	STR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	9	NTR
Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	780-01205	780-01301	780-01401	780-01500	780-01601	780-01700	810-00605		5. PRIVATELY OWNED - AGRICULTURAL LANDS (grantable):	Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	550-02700	550-02890	550-02900	550-03600	550-03700	550-03900	600-00401	600-00801	600-01000	600-01100	600-01105	600-01150	600-01400	600-01700	600-02100	600-02805	600-02900	00000-009	00880-009
	155	157	160	161	162	163	167		4,		9	10	7	18	19	20	28	33	36	37	36	40	45	48	23	28	29	63	99

TOTAL VALUE	397.00	300.00	261.00	213.00	210.00	96.00	473.00	279.00	271.00	543.00	503.00	545.00	522.00	394.00	344.00	298.00	298.00	271.00	543.00	580.00	2,259.00	68.00	89.00	81.00	00.69	258.00	287.00	104.00	00.89	1,302.00	679.00	339.00	1,061.00
	8	\$	છ	છ	છ	\$	છ	છ	ઝ	છ	છ	ઝ	છ	ઝ	\$	છ	€9	₩	છ	↔	€9	છ	€9	ક્ક	છ	છ	છ	છ	છ	છ	€9	€9	<del>\$</del>
Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>	1					•			•				,		•		•	•		1	•	,	•	•				•		•	•	•	•
<i>y</i> 0, =	8	\$	\$	€9	↔	s	s	€	\$	€	8	\$	↔	\$	s	€9	€9	\$	↔	<b>↔</b>	↔	↔	€9	↔	€9	\$	€9	€	↔	€	↔	€	↔
Value of <u>Outlet</u>	397.00	300.00	261.00	213.00	210.00	96.00	473.00	279.00	271.00	543.00	503.00	545.00	522.00	394.00	344.00	298.00	298.00	271.00	543.00	580.00	1,212.00	68.00	89.00	81.00	00.69	258.00	287.00	104.00	68.00	1,302.00	679.00	339.00	1,061.00
	8	ક્ર	ક્ક	69	\$	s	69	69	ઝ	69	ક્ક	\$	69	\$	\$	69	8	↔	69	<b>↔</b>	↔	69	8	છ	69	ક્ક	69	ક્ક	69	ક્ક	↔	€9	8
Value of <u>Benefit</u>	1	•	1			•	1	1		1	ı		,		•		•	•	•	1	1,047.00	,	•	•		1			•		•	•	•
<i></i>	છ	s	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	₩	↔
Owner's Name	Elaine Hatt	Elaine Hatt	A Driedger Farms Inc.	1903274 Ontario Inc.	A Driedger Farms Inc.	Dennis Driedger	J N Driedger Farms Limited	John & Marion Driedger	J N Driedger Farms Limited	Dennis Driedger	Henry & Beatrice Krueger	Thomas J Dick Farms Inc.	Dennis Driedger	1441312 Ontario Ltd.	1441312 Ontario Ltd.	James Hatt	Betty Hatt & Julie Clifford	Gary & Angela Taves	Mark Stevenson	Ryan Pearson & Lotje Kouwenberg	Dennis & Karen Driedger	A Driedger Farms Inc.	W J Brown Farms Limited	Kevin Flood	Kevin Flood	Tec-Land Inc.	Jerald & Nadine Driedger	Peter & Elizabeth Friesen	Peter & Elizabeth Friesen	Ronald Epp	Four E Farms Inc.	Cornelius & Nancy Unger	Four E Farms Inc.
Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	18.745	20.502	19.951	16.321	14.949	4.856	23.877	10.117	10.117	20.235	18.588	20.049	21.335	10.741	11.141	11.129	11.129	10.117	20.235	19.122	39.984	2.023	2.647	2.428	2.064	7.689	4.047	2.023	2.023	38.810	20.235	10.117	29.745
Acres <u>Afft'd</u>	46.32	99.09	49.30	40.33	36.94	12.00	29.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	45.93	49.54	52.72	26.54	27.53	27.50	27.50	25.00	20.00	47.25	98.80	2.00	6.54	00.9	5.10	19.00	10.00	5.00	2.00	95.90	20.00	25.00	73.50
Acres Owned	46.32	99.09	49.30	40.33	36.94	44.80	29.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	45.93	49.54	52.72	26.54	27.53	27.50	27.50	25.00	20.00	47.25	98.80	40.77	44.50	26.02	25.06	123.70	20.00	26.30	27.58	95.90	20.00	25.00	73.50
Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	221	220	219 & 220	219	219	223	21	21	21	20	20	19	19	19	19	19	19	20	20	21	21	15	15	16	16	16 & 17	17	18	18	20 & 21	20	19	19
Con. or Plan <u>No.</u>	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	NTR	9	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	<b>^</b>	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	80	80	80	ω
Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	600-03500	00980-009	002:00-009	008800-009	600-03802	610-03400	730-00800	730-01100	730-01200	730-01300	730-01500	730-01600	730-01700	730-01900	730-01905	730-02000	730-02100	730-02200	730-02300	730-02500	730-02600	740-01110	740-01200	740-01300	740-01310	740-01500	740-01600	740-01700	740-01750	770-00700	220-00800	270-00900	770-01000
	89	69	20	71	72	9/	77	79	80	8	83	87	88	93	94	92	96	26	86	100	102	104	105	108	109	11	113	114	115	120	121	122	123

TOTAL	447.00	805.00	462.00	807.00	807.00	824.00	1,329.00	2,185.00	129.00	254.00	118.00	80.00	18.00	68.00	68.00	147.00	58.00	136.00	168.00	41.00	27.00	14.00	634.00	65.00
	s	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>		•	,	•	1		٠	٠	٠	•	1	•	1					٠	٠	٠	,	1	1	
> 0, 21	s	₩	↔	↔	<del>⇔</del>	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Value of <u>Outlet</u>	447.00	805.00	462.00	807.00	807.00	824.00	799.00	1,660.00	129.00	254.00	118.00	80.00	18.00	68.00	00.89	147.00	58.00	136.00	168.00	41.00	27.00	14.00	422.00	65.00
	s	69	ક્ર	↔	↔	↔	\$	\$	\$	ઝ	છ	ઝ	છ	ઝ	\$	ઝ	\$	\$	\$	\$	છ	છ	છ	↔
Value of <u>Benefit</u>	,		•	•			530.00	525.00		٠	į	٠	į	ļ	1	ļ		ı	ı	ı	į	į	212.00	•
	s	↔	↔	↔	<del>⇔</del>	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔
Owner's Name	Raymond & Grace Marchand	Paul Walker	Paul Walker	David & Lorrie Armstrong	Peter Driedger c/o Leonard Driedger	William & Margaret Gillanders	William Gillanders	J N Driedger Farms Limited	Bruno & Irene Nawrocki	Roy Tofflemire	Phyllis Rasmussen	Kenneth Driedger	Kenneth Driedger	Betty Hatt & Karen Symes	Julie Clifford & Betty Hatt	Garry Mills Family Farm Inc.	Elaine Hatt	Judith Finnerty	Mark Stevenson	William & Mary Vandenberg	Alissa Douglas	Douglas & Rosemary Reid	John & Madeline Mills	J N Driedger Farms Limited
Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	10.077	19.705	9.713	20.235	20.235	20.032	20.235	40.130	3.845	6.070	2.023	2.023	0.202	2.023	2.023	3.399	1.214	4.047	2.428	1.214	0.809	0.405	8.094	1.619
Acres <u>Afft'd</u>	24.90	48.69	24.00	20.00	50.00	49.50	20.00	99.16	9.50	15.00	2.00	2.00	0.50	2.00	2.00	8.40	3.00	10.00	00.9	3.00	2.00	1.00	20.00	4.00
Acres Owned	24.90	48.69	24.00	50.00	50.00	49.50	50.00	99.16	98.95	115.00	20.00	24.50	0.50	25.00	50.00	99.00	97.82	49.32	150.00	97.80	48.84	22.95	100.00	49.14
Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	19	19	19	20	20	21	21	22	18	17	17	17	17	16	16	16	15	15	14	13 & 14	13	13	22	21
Con. or Plan <u>No.</u>	∞	∞	∞	œ	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	œ	∞	œ	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	œ	တ	<b>о</b>
Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	770-01200	770-01250	770-01300	770-01400	770-01500	770-01600	770-01700	770-01900	780-00100	780-00200	780-00300	780-00400	780-00410	780-00450	780-00500	780-00560	780-00900	780-01000	780-01100	780-01300	780-01305	780-01400	810-00400	810-00700
	126	127	128	130	131	132	134	135	138	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	150	152	154	156	158	159	164	168

Total on Privately Owned - Agricultural Lands (grantable)......

32,138.00

↔

26,409.00

₩

5,729.00

		Con. or										Value of	$\supset$
	Tax Roll	Plan	Lot or Part	Acres	Acres	Hectares			Value of		Value of	Special	Φ
	o N O	o N	of Lot	Owned	Afft'd	Afft'd	Owner's Name	•	Benefit		Outlet	Benefit	Ċ.
82	730-01400	7	20	25.00	25.00	10.117	Dennis & Karen Driedger	\$		\$	298.00	↔	
103	730-02700	7	22	188.00	188.00	76.083	1364692 Ontario Limited	\$	1,120.00	\$	2,278.00	s	
116	740-01800	7	18	26.41	3.00	1.214	David & Margaretha Dyck	\$		\$	52.00	s	
117	270-00600	80	21	49.23	49.23	19.923	Magdalena Farms Limited	\$	522.00	\$	00.989	s	
136	770-01910	80	22	97.92	25.00	10.117	Magdalena Farms Ltd.	s	265.00	<b>⇔</b>	361.00	s	
165	810-00500	6	21	12.51	7.50	3.035	Edward & Kathy Hicks	s	79.00	<b>⇔</b>	171.00	s	
166	810-00600	6	21	12.50	7.50	3.035	Paul & Freda Brown	↔	79.00	↔	172.00	↔	
		Total on	Total on Privately Owned - Agricul	ed - Agricult	ural Lands	(non-granta	- Itural Lands (non-grantable)	<b>₩</b>	2,065.00	₩	4,018.00	<del>\$</del>	
•	TOTAL ASSESSMENT - MUNICIPALITY OF	SMENT -	MUNICIPALITY	, OF				.				,	

6,083.00

46,638.00

<del>s</del>

298.00

TOTAL VALUE 3,398.00

1,208.00 626.00

<del>9</del> <del>9</del> <del>9</del> <del>9</del> <del>9</del> <del>9</del>

250.00

# MUNICIPALITY OF CHATHAM-KENT

## 3. MUNICIPAL LANDS:

	TOTAL	VALUE	\$ 334.00
Value of	Special	Benefit	· •
	Value of	Outlet	334.00
	Value of	<u>Benefit</u>	· ·
		Owner's Name	Municipality of Chatham-Kent
	Hectares	Afft'd	5.577
	Acres	Afft'd	13.78
	Acres	Owned	
	Lot or Part	of Lot	ssessment
Con. or	Plan	N O	Wheatley <i>A</i> ds
	Tax Roll	No.	Community of Wheatley Assessment for Urban Roads

334.00

334.00

Total on Municipal Lands.....

ίĊ
ă
Z
₹
ᆛ
2
$\supset$
ᆸ
5
$\bar{\mathbf{c}}$
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$
G
∢
Ż
$\overline{o}$
ž
ž
Ň-
VED - N
VNED - N
WNED - N
OWNED - N
Y OWNED - NO
ELY OWNED - NO
ATELY OWNED - NO
TELY OWNED - NO
RIVATELY OWNED - NO
VATELY OWNED - NO
PRIVATELY OWNED - NO
. PRIVATELY OWNED - NO

	Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	Con. or Plan <u>No.</u>	Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	Acres Owned	Acres <u>Afft'd</u>	Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	Owner's Name	≫ ¤I	Value of <u>Benefit</u>	> -'	Value of <u>Outlet</u>	Val Sp	Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>		TOTAL <u>VALUE</u>
လို ခုံ	Community of Wheatley Assessment for Urban Lands	Wheatley A s	ssessment		49.28	19.943	Municipality of Chatham-Kent	€	1,044.00	↔	994.00	€		<del>⇔</del>	2,038.00
72	1-50301	N T R	218	38.18	18.10	7.325	Municipality of Chatham-Kent	છ	ı	↔	191.00	↔	ı	↔	191.00
		Total on	Total on Privately Owned - Non-Agricultural Lands	ed - Non-Agr	icultural L	ands		<del>\$</del>	1,044.00	<del>\$</del>	1,185.00	<del>\$</del>	<b>'</b>	<del>\$</del>	2,229.00
5.	PRIVATELY	OWNED -	5. PRIVATELY OWNED - AGRICULTURAL LANDS (grantable):	AL LANDS (	grantable):										
	Tax Roll <u>No.</u>	Con. or Plan <u>No.</u>	Lot or Part <u>of Lot</u>	Acres Owned	Acres <u>Afft'd</u>	Hectares <u>Afft'd</u>	Owner's Name	ÿ <u>al</u>	Value of <u>Benefit</u>	>	Value of <u>Outlet</u>	Val Sp <u>Be</u>	Value of Special <u>Benefit</u>		TOTAL <u>VALUE</u>
69	1-39400	STR	218	16.47	16.47	6.665	Maxwell Abraham	↔	240.00	↔	61.00	↔		↔	301.00
20	1-43000	STR	218	14.63	14.63	5.921	2584085 Ontario Inc.	ક્ક	174.00	\$	150.00	s		s	324.00
2	1-43100	NTR	218	28.64	28.64	11.590	1659538 Ontario Limited	↔	1	↔	174.00	<b>⇔</b>		↔	174.00
		Total on	Total on Privately Owned - Agricultural Lands (grantable)	ed - Agricult	ural Lands	(grantable)		₩	414.00	₩	385.00	₩	.	₩	799.00
5 5	TOTAL ASSESSN CHATHAM-KENT	SMENT - I	TOTAL ASSESSMENT - MUNICIPALITY OF CHATHAM-KENT	7 OF	140.90	57.021		<del>⇔</del>	1,458.00	<b>↔</b>	1,904.00	<b>↔</b>	•	<b>↔</b>	3,362.00
띹잌	TAL ASSES AMINGTON	SMENT - I (BROUGH	TOTAL ASSESSMENT - MUNICIPALITY OF LEAMINGTON (BROUGHT FORWARD)	7 OF	2765.82	1119.312		<del>⇔</del>	8,542.00	<b>↔</b>	38,096.00	<del>\$</del>		<del>\$</del>	46,638.00
2	TOTAL ASSESSMENT	SMENT		•	2906.72	1176.333		&	10,000.00	<del>\$</del>	40,000.00	<b>↔</b>	.	<del>\$</del>	50,000.00

169 170 171

1 Hectare = 2.471 Acres D-16-037 November 24th, 2017

